Spin Waves and Collisional Frequency Shifts of a Trapped-Atom Clock
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We excite spin waves with spatially inhomogeneous Ramsey pulses and study the resulting frequency shifts of a chip-scale atomic clock of trapped \(^{87}\)Rb. The density-dependent frequency shifts of the hyperfine transition simulate the \(s\)-wave collisional frequency shifts of fermions, including those of optical lattice clocks. As the spin polarizations oscillate in the trap, the frequency shift reverses and it depends on the area of the second Ramsey pulse, exhibiting a predicted beyond mean-field frequency shift. Numerical and analytic models illustrate these observed behaviors.
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Quantum scattering in an ultracold gas of indistinguishable spin-1/2 atoms leads to rich and unexpected behaviors, even above the onset of quantum degeneracy. Among these, spin waves are a beautiful macroscopic manifestation of identical spin rotation (ISR) [1–4]. ISR also inhibits dephasing, which can dramatically increase the coherence time in a trapped ensemble of interacting atoms to tens of seconds [5,6], with possible applications to compact atomic clocks and quantum memories. Another example is collisional interactions in optical lattice clocks [7–10]. Their detailed understanding is a prerequisite for optical lattice clocks to realize their full potential as future primary standards.

At ultracold temperatures, scattering is purely \(s\) wave, which is forbidden for indistinguishable fermions, suggesting that clocks using ultracold fermions are immune to collision shifts [11,12]. However, spatial inhomogeneities of the clock field, which are naturally larger for optical frequency fields than for radio frequencies, allow fermions to become distinguishable and therefore can lead to \(s\)-wave clock shifts [8–10]. A series of experiments attributed the collision shifts of Sr lattice clocks to these novel \(s\)-wave fermion collisions with inhomogeneous clock field excitations [7,13,14]. However, subsequent work showed that \(p\) waves dominate for Yb lattice clocks, and \(p\)-wave scattering is consistent with all the observed Sr collisional frequency shifts [15].

Bosons with state-independent scattering lengths have fermion-like exchange interactions [8,16,17]. This allows us to simulate the \(s\)-wave fermion collisional shift with a chip-scale clock that traps \(^{87}\)Rb, a boson with nearly equal scattering lengths. We observe the distinguishing feature that the collisional shift in the presence of inhomogeneous excitations depends on the area of the second Ramsey clock pulse. This dependence sets it apart from the well-known \(s\)-wave shift for homogeneous excitations, which is absent for fermions and, for bosons, depends only on the first pulse area, and hence the population difference of the two clocks states [8,18]. Further, inhomogeneous excitations directly excite spin waves. We show an inextricable link between spin waves and the \(s\)-wave fermion collisional shifts. Notably, we observe frequency shifts that change sign as spin polarizations oscillate in the trap.

We perform Ramsey spectroscopy with two spatially inhomogeneous pulses to study spin waves and the collisional frequency shifts of trapped \(^{87}\)Rb atoms. The first clock pulse creates an inhomogeneous spin polarization, which varies linearly in space. We directly observe a spatiotemporal oscillation of this spin polarization, which characterizes the strength of the atomic interactions. Driving a second Ramsey pulse, we measure frequency shifts of this clock. Here, we vary the areas of each pulse and the interrogation time between the two pulses, to probe the unique behaviors of \(s\)-wave fermion clock shifts. We develop analytic and numerical models that describe the observed spin waves and the novel dependence on the area of the second Ramsey pulse.

Our chip-scale atomic clock magnetically traps between \(10^2\) and \(10^3\) atoms at a distance \(z_0 = 156\ \mu\text{m}\) below a microwave coplanar waveguide on our atom chip [5,19]. A microwave and radio frequency, two-photon excitation

FIG. 1 (color online). Calculated microwave field amplitude of the coplanar waveguide on our atom chip, in arbitrary units. The rapidly decaying near field causes a small vertical gradient of the spin polarization across the trapped atom cloud.
drives the clock transition, \( |\downarrow\rangle \equiv |F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle \) to \( |\uparrow\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle \). The near field of the microwave guide (Fig. 1) creates a slightly inhomogeneous Rabi frequency in the vertical \( z \) direction, \( \Omega(t) = \Omega_0 (1 + \xi_z \sigma_z + \cdots) \). The trap frequencies are \( (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = 2\pi (32(1), 97(1), 119.5(5)) \) Hz. The temperature of the cloud is 175(6) nK, at least 30 nK above the onset of Bose-Einstein condensation, with no measurable dependence on the atom number. At this temperature, the identity of the second pulse instead gives spin \( \alpha \) if both atoms have switched sides of the trap so that the inhomogeneous spread of transition frequency [20] so that dephasing can be neglected on the time scales we consider [21].

The variation of the Rabi frequency across the atom cloud is determined by fitting the resonant Rabi flopping using \( \Omega_0 \gg \omega_z \) so that atomic motion during the pulse can be neglected [Fig. 2(a)]. We find \( \delta_1 = 0.1 \xi_z^{-1} \) and \( \delta_2 \approx 0 \) which is reasonable since the rms cloud radius \( \xi_z = 4.1 \mu m \ll \xi_0 \) [22].

We initiate a spin wave with a single \( \tau = 1.05 \) ms excitation pulse of area \( \Omega_0 \tau = 2.5 \pi \). We use a multiple of \( \pi/2 \) to produce a larger spin inhomogeneity. This inhomogeneous spin population then oscillates in the trap and we observe the oscillation by holding the atoms in the trap for various times \( t_h \) after the Rabi pulse, followed by a 7 ms time-of-flight and state-selective absorption imaging. Figure 3(a) shows the center of mass of the \( | \uparrow \rangle \) component. The data for our lowest atomic density exhibit a simple oscillation at \( \omega_z \), and the \( | \downarrow \rangle \) cloud (not shown) oscillates out of phase. The center of mass of the total population shows no measurable oscillation. Increasing the density, we observe a collapse and revival of the oscillation at shorter and shorter times. At \( t_h = 80 \) ms, the oscillation for the highest density is out of phase with the lowest. As we show below, this is a signature of a spin wave driven by ISR.

We can intuitively illustrate the spin dynamics by considering two localized atoms oscillating in a one-dimensional trap with frequency \( \omega_z \) [Fig. 2(b)]. With the atoms initially on opposite sides of the trap center in state \( | \downarrow \rangle \), they are excited with a short Rabi pulse of mean area, typically \( \theta_1 = \Omega_0 \tau = \pi/2 \). Since \( \Omega = \Omega(z) \), the atoms experience different Bloch vector rotations \( \theta_1 \pm \Delta \theta_1 \). After the pulse, the atoms oscillate in the trap during the Ramsey interrogation time \( T_R \) as in Fig. 3(a). In the absence of interactions, each atom maintains its spin...
orientation, in a frame that rotates at the atomic transition frequency, and thus the spatial spin populations simply oscillate at \( \omega_z \). Note that the phase of each atom’s coherence is constant. However, if there are exchange interactions, the two spins will rotate with an ISR rate \( \omega_{ex} \) around their total spin as they repeatedly collide [Fig. 2(b)] [5,16]. In a time \( \pi/\omega_{ex} \), the two atoms exchange their spin polarizations, producing a beat between \( \omega_{ex} \) and \( \omega_z \). This introduces the frequency \( \omega_{ex} \) into the spatial oscillation of the spins, producing a beat between \( \omega_{ex} \) and \( \omega_z \). For each curve, we extract \( \omega_{ex} \), which varies linearly with density [Fig. 3(b)], as \( 2\pi 1.4 \text{ Hz}/(10^{12} \text{ at}/\text{cm}^3) \times \bar{n} \), within our uncertainty [23].

The spatiotemporal spin oscillation has important consequences for Ramsey spectroscopy. The second Ramsey pulse reads out the phase of the atomic coherences. Between the pulses, the exchange interaction modulates the phase of each atom’s coherence as the spins rotate about one another [Fig. 2(b)]. If we were to measure the transition probability of one of the atoms above [8], the apparent resonance frequency would depend on \( T_R \); it would be modulated at \( \omega_{ex} \). In the usual case when both atoms are detected, the frequency excursion of this modulated collision shift is reduced [Fig. 2(c)]. The shift averages to zero if the second Ramsey pulse is homogeneous. For an inhomogeneous second pulse, the two Bloch vectors experience different rotations \( \theta_2 = \Delta \theta_2 = \Omega_{ex}(T_R) \tau \) depending on their positions at the time of the pulse. This gives them different weights in the Ramsey measurement, making the cancellation incomplete, unless the second pulse is an odd multiple of \( \pi/2 \), which reads out the phases of both atoms with the same sensitivity [8]. This simple model predicts a clock shift,

\[
\delta \nu = \frac{\Delta \theta_1 \Delta \theta_2 \sin(\omega_{ex} T_R) \cos(\omega_z T_R) \cos \theta_2}{4 \pi T_R \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}. \tag{1}
\]

It extends the results in [8] to \( \omega_{ex} T_R \geq 1 \) and unresolved sidebands. Here, we linearize the dependence on \( \Delta \theta_2 \). A singlet-triplet basis provides helpful insight and also leads to Eq. (1). Before the first pulse the two atoms are in the triplet state \( |S, m_s\rangle = |1, -1\rangle \). The inhomogeneous excitation pulse makes them partially distinguishable and populates the singlet state \( |0, 0\rangle \) [25,26]. For \( \Omega_{ex} = \omega_z \), \( \omega_{ex} \), we numerically calculate the evolution of the \( S = 1 \) pseudospin system, coherently including all transitions up to the fifth sideband. We also treat the 5% scattering length difference \( a_{\parallel} = a_{\perp} = a_{||} \).

To experimentally test Eq. (1), we measure the shift of the clock’s frequency with a Ramsey sequence for the same range of densities as in Fig. 3. Figure 4(a) shows the measured shift as a function of density for two \( \tau_{1,2} = 1.05 \text{ ms} \) pulses separated by a \( T_R = 100 \text{ ms} \) interrogation time, which is close to a multiple of the trap period. The first pulse area is \( \theta_1 = 5\pi/2 \) and the second is \( \theta_2 = 2.2\pi \). Like above, a large pulse area is used to increase the inhomogeneity. The observed frequency shift indeed oscillates as a function of density, giving a frequency shift that is inconsistent with the often used mean-field shift [7,9,12,18]. Moreover, the first zero of \( \delta \nu \) indeed occurs for \( \omega_{ex} T_R = \pi \), with the value of \( \omega_{ex} \) being determined from the data in Fig. 3 for this density. This confirms a distinguishing prediction of Eq. (1).

We also measure the shift as a function of \( T_R \) [Fig. 4(b)]. Again, we use \( \theta_1 = 2.5\pi \) and \( \theta_2 = 2.2\pi \) and determine the frequency shift \( \delta \nu \) for each of the atomic densities, 

![FIG. 4](color online). (a) Measured frequency shifts for a Ramsey sequence \( T_R = 100 \text{ ms} \), \( \theta_1 = 2.5\pi \) and \( \theta_2 = 2.2\pi \) versus interaction strength, which is proportional to density. The shift is nonlinear; in fact it oscillates as the density increases. For reference we plot the known shift for homogeneous excitations, \( \delta \nu = (\alpha_{||} - \alpha_{\perp})/(2\pi a_{||})\omega_{ex} \) (black line). (b) Density shift per atom \( d\delta \nu/dN_a \) in the low interaction regime versus \( T_R \) with \( \theta_1 = 2.5\pi \) and \( \theta_2 = 2.2\pi \). The shift oscillates as the spin polarizations oscillate in the trap. (c) Dependence on the first and second pulse areas. Black squares: \( d\delta \nu/dN_a \) for \( T_R = 92 \text{ ms} \) with \( \theta_1 = 2.5\pi \) fixed and \( \theta_2 \) variable. The horizontal line (green) is a reference measurement with \( \theta_2 = \pi/2 \) giving \(-6 \text{ MHz}/\text{atom} \), the expected frequency shift in the absence of ISR and predicted from the difference of scattering lengths. A numerical calculation based on the singlet-triplet model for two atoms (solid blue curve) reproduces the data with no free parameters. Eq. (1) qualitatively reproduces the observed behaviors, but overestimates the shift by 60% (dashed red curve). Circles (magenta): \( \theta_1 \) variable and \( \theta_2 = 2.5\pi \) fixed. This dependence is not reproduced by either of the models.
\[ \hat{n} = \{0.4, 0.8, 1.3, 1.7\} \times 10^{12} \text{ at/cm}^3. \] From a linear fit we extract the slope \( \alpha = \frac{d\delta v}{dN_a} \). This suppresses potential density-independent frequency shifts that vary with \( T_R \). Whenever the spatial spin distribution is the same for the first and second Ramsey pulses, the shift has the same sign and has the opposite sign when the spatial spin distribution reverses. This emphasizes the importance of the correlation between the inhomogeneities of the first and second Ramsey pulses. In the low-density regime, \( \langle \hat{n} \rangle \approx 10^{12} \text{ at/cm}^3 \), Eq. (1) predicts that \( \alpha \) in Fig. 4(b) should oscillate at \( \omega_{\alpha} \). Here, the mean frequency shift is offset, as expected from the small scattering length differences.

A distinguishing feature of the \( s \)-wave fermion collisions [Eq. (1)] is that the frequency shift depends on the area of the second Ramsey pulse [8]. For homogeneous excitations of ultracold bosons, the frequency shift depends on the area of the first Ramsey pulse, which determines the population difference of the clock states for the collisions during the Ramsey interrogation time. This unique feature was not demonstrated in the observations of collisional reverses. This emphasizes the importance of the correlation between the inhomogeneities of the first and second Ramsey pulses. In the low-density regime, \( \langle \hat{n} \rangle \approx 10^{12} \text{ at/cm}^3 \), Eq. (1) predicts that \( \alpha \) in Fig. 4(b) should oscillate at \( \omega_{\alpha} \). Here, the mean frequency shift is offset, as expected from the small scattering length differences.

To show the quantitative agreement between the data of Fig. 4 and our models we use the experimental parameters, including \( \delta_1 \) which is independently determined from the resonant Rabi flopping with the respective model [Fig. 2(a)]. The numerical model reproduces the data [27].

We also vary the area of the first Ramsey pulse \( \theta_1 \), keeping \( \theta_2 = 5 \pi/2 \) fixed. Surprisingly, the shift is comparable to when \( \theta_2 \) is varied. Equation (1) has a small dependence on \( \theta_1 \) when \( \theta_2 \) is not exactly \( 5 \pi/2 \) but the predicted shift is much smaller than observed and would not change sign near \( \theta_1 = 2.5 \pi \). Similarly, shifts due to the small difference of scattering lengths \( a_{\|} + a_{\perp} < 2a_{\|} \) are too small. However, we note that our first pulse motionally excites the spin components, beyond a simple dipolar excitation. This leads to an oscillation of the cloud size, which unexpectedly varies with \( \theta_1 \). We speculate that this may produce some dephasing [28].

The striking connection between spin waves and \( s \)-wave fermion collision shifts demonstrated here is very general. We can elucidate this connection by considering the resolved sideband regime, used in many ultraprecise atomic clocks, including optical lattice clocks. With resolved sidebands and weak interactions, even though the clock field cannot change the motional state of the atoms, we show that spin waves are excited. Here, the spatial inhomogeneity may give a low energy atom in vibrational state \( |\alpha\rangle \) a large pulse area and a high energy atom in \( |\beta\rangle \) a small pulse area, directly populating pair-wise singlet states \( [0, 0] \) [8].

After the pulse, the two fermionic atoms evolve as \( \hat{\Psi}(\ell) = s e^{-i\Delta_\alpha \ell}[0, 0][|\alpha\beta\rangle^+ + (i[1, 0] + u[1, 1] + d[1, -1])[|\alpha\beta\rangle^+] - \frac{1}{2} \langle |\alpha\beta\rangle^+ \rangle \), where \( |1, m_s\rangle \) are triplet states, \( \{\downarrow^\alpha\downarrow^\beta\rangle \) denotes (anti)symmetrization, and \( u, d, t, \) and \( s \) are the state amplitudes. Rewriting this two particle wave function as \( \hat{\Psi}(\ell) = 1/\sqrt{2} + s e^{-i\Delta_\alpha \ell}(|\downarrow\alpha\rangle |\beta\rangle^+ + 1/\sqrt{2} - s e^{-i\Delta_\alpha \ell}(|\uparrow\alpha\rangle |\beta\rangle^+ + (u[1, 1] + d[1, -1])[|\alpha\beta\rangle^+] - \frac{1}{2} \langle |\alpha\beta\rangle^+ \rangle \), we see that the \( |\downarrow\rangle \) populations in state \( |\alpha\rangle \) and \( |\beta\rangle \) have an explicit oscillation at \( \omega_{\alpha} \); at different times \( T \), the \( |\downarrow\rangle \) population in the vibrational states are different. Thus, whenever there is a fermion collision shift, spin waves must also exist, and the fermion collision shift will oscillate as the spin populations oscillate in the trap.

In summary, we observe characteristic behaviors of the collisional frequency shifts due to inhomogeneous excitations in an atomic clock. The inhomogeneous excitations create spin waves, which we show are inextricably connected to the \( s \)-wave frequency shifts of fermion clocks, including optical-frequency lattice clocks. We directly excite dipolar spin waves via an amplitude gradient of the excitation field. The spin populations oscillate, exhibiting a beat between the trap frequency and the frequency of spin rotation due to particle interactions. This leads to a collisional frequency shift that oscillates as the spin populations oscillate in the trap. We observe that the clock collision shift does not vary linearly with the atomic density and, in the spin wave regime, varying the Ramsey interrogation time \( T_R \) [Fig. 4(b)] could help to evaluate the accuracy of atomic clocks. The frequency shift exhibits the novel dependence on the area of the second Ramsey pulse, in stark contrast to the mean field expressions for frequency shifts with homogeneous excitations [8]. While we intentionally exaggerate the spin wave excitations here, these frequency shifts can be minimized by using spatially homogeneous fields, using sideband resolved pulses, and avoiding the Knudsen regime so that trap-state changing collisions further suppress the fermion shift.
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The inhomogeneous spread of transition frequencies due to the magnetic potential and the spatially varying atomic density, is less than 80 mHz.

We checked experimentally that the inhomogeneity is predominantly vertical. Along y there is no detectable variation of $C_1$ and its variation along x is 5 times smaller than along z.

This coefficient is five times smaller than $\omega_{MF}$ [1]. Small amplitude dipolar spin waves oscillate at $\omega_{MF}/2$ [24] and models show that this frequency can decrease by a factor 2 for large amplitude spin waves. Additionally, we experimentally observe that $\omega_{ex}$ decreases by a factor of two as $\theta_1 = 2\pi$ increases to $\theta_1 = 2.8\pi$.

To derive Eq. (1) in the singlet-triplet basis, we consider short pulses, $\Omega_0 \gg \omega_z$, $\omega_{ex}$, neglecting interactions during the pulses, and small inhomogeneities, $\delta \theta \ll 1$, exciting only a single trap sideband. We add the contributions for the upper and lower sidebands.

To extract $\alpha$ from the numerical model, we calculate the shift for the four densities and extract $\alpha$ from a linear fit, in the same way that we analyze the data.

Such oscillations could create additional spatial inhomogeneities of the transition frequency through the trap, and add a new source of dephasing. Any dephasing directly couples pair-wise singlet and triplet states during the Ramsey time and generally leads to a dependence of the measured frequency on $\theta_1$. Since the contrast does not decrease significantly, the dephasing rate would have to be less than $\omega_{ex}$.