ABSTRACT. It is known that the estimates of the Earth’s gravity field produced by the Gravity
Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission can be used to infer changes in equivalent
water thickness (EWT). However, inadequately smoothed GRACE satellite mission EWT data contain
significant striping and thus ought to be filtered to improve signal to noise ratio. We used Stokes coeffi-
cients data from GFZ (GeoForschungsZentrum), JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) and CSR (Center for
Space Research), filtered by decorrelation anisotropic filters: DDK3, DDK2 and DDK1 (Kusche et al.,
2009) and made available in the ICGEM (International Center for Global Earth Models). To determine
gravimetric excitation function of polar motion for the entire globe or selected areas, we convert gravity
coefficients into Equivalent Water Thickness fields. To eliminate stripes from the maps of the EWT,
one uses anisotropic filters (Kusche et al., 2009) that are smoothing the EWT data. In this study we
investigate the influence of decorrelation anisotropic DDK filters used to process the GRACE EWT fields
on the determined polar motion gravimetric excitation functions. We investigate the effect of these filters
for four regions: 1) entire Earth, 2) ocean area, 3) land area and 4) Tibetan Plateau area (a rectangle
bounded by 4 points A(37°N,78°E), B(37°N,102°E), C(28°N,78°E), D(28°N,102°E). Stokes coefficients
are made available on the ICGEM web site. The data contain spherical harmonic coefficients delivered
by three research centers: CSR, GFZ, JPL. The time span of the data is 2002 - 2010. The time resolution
is 30 days. The ICGEM delivers either the raw Stokes coefficients or filtered Stokes coefficients after
application of the anisotropic filters.

Computation were based on the following equations: The global and regional gravimetric excitation
of polar motion (Eubanks, 1993) is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\chi_1 \\
\chi_2 
\end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1.098 R_e^2}{C - A} \int \int \Delta q(\phi, \lambda, t) \sin(\phi) \cos(\phi) \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\cos(\lambda) \\
\sin(\lambda)
\end{array} \right] dS.
\]

(1)

The function that converts gravity coefficients into maps of Equivalent Water Thickness reads (Cham-
bers, 2008)

\[
\Delta q(\phi, \lambda, t) = \frac{R_\oplus \rho_\oplus}{3 \rho_W} \sum_{n=0}^{40} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(2n+1)}{(1+k_n)} P_{nm}(\sin\phi) [\Delta C_{nm}(t) \cos m\lambda + \Delta S_{nm}(t) \sin m\lambda].
\]

(2)

\(\chi_1, \chi_2\) - components of polar motion excitation function
\(\Delta q(\phi, \lambda, t)\) - change of water storage in a unit area (\(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2\))
\(R_\oplus\) - mean Earth radius (6378km)
\(\rho_\oplus\) - mean density of the Earth (5517 \(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}\))
\(dS\) - surface area element
\(C, A\) - principal moments of inertia
\(\rho_W\) - density of fresh water (1000 \(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}\))
\(\phi, \lambda, t\) - latitude, longitude and time
\(k_n\) - load Love number of degree \(n\)
\(P_{nm}(\cdot)\) - fully normalized associated Legendre polynomials degree \(n\) and order \(m\)
Figure 1: The differences between polar motion excitation computed from Stokes coefficients filtered by DDK3 and DDK2. Coefficients from CSR, GFZ and JPL are processed by the ICGEM. In the subsequent panels there are presented the excitation functions successively for the area of the entire Earth, ocean area, land area and Tibetan Plateau area.

Figure 1 shows comparison of excitation functions from the three research centers CSR, GFZ and JPL at two levels of filtering. In each panel there are plotted the excitation functions from CSR, GFZ and JPL. The analysis shows that the smoothing of Stokes coefficients at two levels of filtering does not affect the excitation function computed for the area of the whole globe. There are significant differences with respect to gravimetric excitation functions determined from filtered data if we take into account smaller areas such as: ocean area, land area or the Tibetan Plateau. Figure 1 also compares the impact of DDK3 and DDK2 filtering. Similar results are seen if we compare DDK1 and DDK2 or DDK1 and DDK3 filtering.

Acknowledgements: The research reported here was supported by the Polish National Science Centre and Information Technology, through project N526157040

REFERENCES
Chambers D. P., 2008, “Converting release-04 gravity coefficients into maps of equivalent water thickness”, report, Center for Space Research, University of Texas