

# ON THE GALACTIC ABERRATION CONSTANT

Zinovy Malkin

Pulkovo Observatory and St. Petersburg State University, Russia

**ABSTRACT.** We analyzed all available determination of the Galactic rotation parameters  $R_0$  and  $\Omega_0$  made during last 10 years to derive the most probable value of the Galactic aberration constant  $A = R_0\Omega_0^2/c$ . We used several statistical methods to obtain reliable estimates of  $R_0$  and  $\Omega_0$  and their realistic errors. Finally we have obtained the value  $A = 5.0 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{as/yr}$  as the current best estimate of the GA constant. We suggest that the proposed value of the GA constant can be safely used in practice during coming years.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Galactic aberration (GA) is a small effect in proper motion of about  $5 \mu\text{as/yr}$  already noticeable in VLBI and other highly-accurate astrometric observations. However accounting for this effect during data processing faces difficulty caused by the uncertainty in the GA constant  $A = R_0\Omega_0^2/c$ , where  $R_0$  is the Galactocentric distance of the Sun,  $\Omega_0$  is the angular velocity of circular rotation of the Sun around the Galactic center,  $c$  is speed of light.

The value of the GA constant can be derived either using the stellar astronomy methods or VLBI observations of the extragalactic radio sources. It seems that the former provide more accurate results, while the latter are still somewhat contradictory. So, we use the results of the observations of Galactic objects to improve  $A$ . Our previous estimate of the GA constant (Malkin 2011) yields the values  $R_0 = 8.2$  kpc,  $\Omega_0 = 29.5$  km s<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $A = 5.02$   $\mu$ as/yr. This work is performed to check and improve if necessary this estimate taking into account more recent measurements of the Galactic rotation parameters.

## 2. DERIVING OF THE BEST VALUE OF THE GA CONSTANT

In this work, we have used 35  $R_0$  measurements and 30  $\Omega_0$  measurements made during last 10 years. They are listed in Tables 1 and 2. We consider the results obtained during last 5 years as the most reliable, especially for  $R_0$  estimates, for which the direct methods, such as measurements of the parallax or stellar orbits around the massive black hole, become routine starting from 2008. So, the results published in 2008–2013 were used to derive the final estimate of the GA constant. The results of 2003–2007 were processed for control of its stability.

We have applied several statistical techniques mostly used in physics and metrology to these data, as described in Malkin (2012, 2013). Results of computation are presented in Table 3. The first line corresponds to the best current estimates of the GA constant, in our opinion. The second result obtained using direct  $R_0$  measurements only is practically the same. It shows that the results of the direct determinations of  $R_0$  does not substantially differ (in average) from

other estimates. The results obtained with all the measurements of the Galactic rotation parameters made during last 10 years are given in the third line. We think it is less reliable than the first two ones. However, it allows us to get an impression about the stability of the GA constant in time.

For comparison, using the standard weighted mean estimate, we have got for the main variant for the data interval 2008–2013 and using all  $R_0$  measurements (i.e. corresponding to the first line of Table 3):  $R_0 = 8.14 \pm 0.08$  kpc,  $\Omega_0 = 29.22 \pm 0.20$  km s<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $A = 4.89 \pm 0.08$   $\mu$ as/yr. Precision of these estimates seems to be too optimistic. Using combined value from different statistical techniques as suggested by Malkin (2012) provides more reliable  $A$  estimate with realistic uncertainty.

More detailed analysis has shown that the error in  $\Omega_0$  prevails in the  $A$  error. Besides, published  $\Omega_0$  results are not statistically consistent, unlike  $R_0$  measurements. So, more attention is needed to compute the best estimate of  $\Omega_0$ .

### 3. CONCLUSION

We derived the current best estimate of the GA constant using all available measurements of the Galactic rotation parameters made during last 5 years, which yields the result  $A = 4.96 \pm 0.26$   $\mu$ as/yr. For practical applications we suggest to use the value  $A = 5$   $\mu$ as/yr. Using this value of the GA constant allows us to eliminate at least 90% of the GA effect. Remaining uncertainty in proper motion of about 0.5  $\mu$ as/yr is negligible nowadays. Thus the proposed value of the GA constant can be safely used in practice during coming years, presumably for at least the nearest decade, until new VLBI and space observations provide substantially better result.

Table 1.  $R_0$  estimates used in this study, kpc. Direct  $R_0$  measurements are marked with asterisk.

$R_0$	$\sigma$	Reference
8.3	0.3	Gerasimenko, 2004
7.7	0.15	Babusiaux & Gilmore, 2005
8.01	0.44	Avedisova, 2005
8.7	0.6	Groenewegen & Blommaert, 2005
7.2	0.3	Bica, et al., 2006
7.52	0.36	Nishiyama, et al. 2006
8.1	0.7	Shen & Zhu, 2007
7.4	0.3	Bobylev, et al., 2007
7.94	0.45	Groenewegen, et al., 2008
8.16 *	0.5	Ghez, et al., 2008
8.07 *	0.35	Trippe, et al. 2008
8.33 *	0.35	Gillessen, et al., 2009
8.7	0.5	Vanhollebeke, et al., 2009
7.58	0.40	Dambis, 2009
8.4 *	0.6	Reid, et al., 2009
7.75	0.5	Majaess, et al., 2009
8.24	0.43	Matsunaga, et al., 2009
7.9 *	0.75	Reid, et al., 2009
7.7	0.4	Dambis, 2010
8.1	0.6	Majaess, 2010
8.3 *	1.1	Sato, et al., 2010
7.80 *	0.26	Ando, et al., 2011
8.29	0.16	McMillan, 2011
7.9	0.36	Matsunaga, et al., 2011
8.03	0.70	Liu & Zhu, 2011
8.54	0.42	Pietrukowicz, et al., 2012
7.7 *	0.4	Morris, et al., 2012
8.27	0.29	Schoenrich, 2012
8.05 *	0.45	Honma, et al., 2012
7.51	0.23	Bobylev, 2013
8.24	0.43	Matsunaga, et al., 2013
8.38 *	0.18	Reid, 2013
8.08	0.44	Zhu & Shen, 2013
8.2	0.35	Nataf, et al., 2013
7.4	0.21	Francis & Anderson, 2013

Table 2.  $\Omega_0$  estimates used in this study, [ $\text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}$ ].

$\Omega_0$	$\sigma$	Reference
27.6	1.7	Bedin, et al., 2003
32.8	1.2	Olling & Denhen, 2003
25.3	2.6	Kalirai, et al., 2004
28.0	0.6	Bobylev, 2004
29.45	0.15	Reid & Brunthaler, 2004
29.96	1.29	Zhu, 2006
26.0	0.3	Bobylev et al., 2007
30.7	1.0	Lepine, et al., 2008
27.67	0.61	Bobylev, et al., 2008
28.06	1.04	Ghez, et al., 2008
30.2	1.0	Dambis, 2009
30.3	0.9	Reid, et al., 2009a
29.8	1.0	Bovy, et al., 2009
31	1	Melnik & Dambis, 2009
27.27	1.04	Dambis, 2010
30.65	0.85	Macmillan & Binney, 2010
31.0	1.2	Bobylev & Bajkova, 2010
27.3	0.8	Ando, et al., 2011
28.7	1.3	Nagayama, et al., 2011
30.4	1.5	Stepanishchev & Bobylev, 2011
31.5	0.9	Bobylev & Bajkova, 2011
29.27	1.04	Liu & Zhu, 2011
28.8	0.8	Bajkova & Bobylev, 2012
27.5	0.5	Bobylev & Bajkova, 2012
28.78	1.04	Schoenrich, 2012
31.09	0.78	Honma, et al., 2012
31.63	3.31	Bobylev, 2013
28	2	Nagayama, et al., 2013
29.0	1.0	Reid, 2013
32.38	1.04	Bobylev & Bajkova, 2013

Table 3. Results of computation of the mean  $R_0$  [kpc],  $\Omega_0$  [km s<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-1</sup>], and the GA constant  $A$  [ $\mu$ as/yr.].

Interval	$R_0$ data	$R_0$	$\Omega_0$	$A$
2008–2013	all	$8.06 \pm 0.12$	$29.59 \pm 0.75$	$4.96 \pm 0.26$
2008–2013	direct	$8.14 \pm 0.15$	$29.59 \pm 0.75$	$5.01 \pm 0.27$
2003–2013	all	$8.00 \pm 0.14$	$29.28 \pm 0.66$	$4.83 \pm 0.24$

## REFERENCES

- Malkin, Z.M., 2011, “The Influence of Galactic Aberration on Precession Parameters Determined from VLBI Observations”, Astron. Rep. 55, pp. 810–815.
- Malkin, Z., 2012, “The current best estimate of the Galactocentric distance of the Sun based on comparison of different statistical techniques”, arXiv:1202.6128.
- Malkin, Z.M., 2013, “On the Calculation of Mean-Weighted Value in Astronomy”, Astron. Rep. 57(11), 882–887.