



Journées 2013, September 16-18, 2013, Observatoire de Paris, Paris, France

## Impact of seasonal station displacement models on radio source positions

Krásná H.<sup>1</sup>, Z. Malkin<sup>2</sup>, J. Böhm<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> TU Vienna, Austria; <sup>2</sup> Pulkovo Observatory and St. Petersburg University, Russia

## Outline

- new reference frames VieTRF13b and VieCRF13b
- models of neglected seasonal station motions
  - harmonic model (annual + semi-annual period)
  - mean annual model
- comparison of estimated celestial reference frames



## VieTRF13b and VieCRF13b



## Comparison of VieTRF13b w.r.t. VTRF2008 at epoch 2000.0

	m <sub>xyz</sub> < 0.5 cm	all stations	
Tx [mm]	<u>2.40 ± 0.69</u>	<u>2.53 ± 0.82</u>	
Ty [mm]	-0.95 ± 0.71	-0.88 ± 0.84	
Tz [mm]	0.04 ± 0.66	-0.07 ± 0.79	
Rx [microas]	15.89 ± 27.46	15.75 ± 32.24	
Ry [microas]	25.01 ± 26.53	27.31 ± 31.23	
Rz [microas]	<u>53.21 ± 21.86</u>	<u>52.89 ± 25.97</u>	
Scale [ppb]	0.02 ± 0.10	-0.02 ± 0.12	



- 14 parameter Helmert transformation (variation of the parameters is not shown here)
- coordinates and velocities are weighted according to the formal errors derived in the new global solution
- except of Tx and Rz all parameters are within their formal errors



weighted mean difference
RA: -0.18 microas
De: -0.49 microas

WRMS RA: 15.82 microas De: 15.45 microas

## **Conventional displacement of stations**

- International Terrestrial Reference Frame considers the position at a reference epoch plus a linear velocity term for station coordinates
- the actual station movement also includes several tidal and non-tidal correction



Unmodelled non-linear displacements (neglected seasonal station motions)

- the increasing accuracy of VLBI observations and the growing time span of available data allow the determination of seasonal signals in station positions which still remain unmodelled in the conventional analysis approach
- we create empirical harmonic models for selected stations

#### AND

• mean annual models by stacking yearly time series of station positions

## Annual and semi-annual signal in TRF

- harmonic functions
- sine and cosine amplitudes are derived from the topocentric station displacement with zero a priori values
- estimated in a global adjustment as additional parameters to the default solution

$$\Delta d_{\text{REN}} = \underbrace{Ac_{\text{REN}}}_{P} \sin\left(\frac{mjd - mjd_0}{P} 2\pi\right) + \underbrace{As_{\text{REN}}}_{P} \cos\left(\frac{mjd - mjd_0}{P} 2\pi\right)$$

P – period of station movement (365.25 days, 182.625 days)  $mjd_0$  – reference epoch set to J2000.0 mjd – time of observation





## vertical amplitude of annual and semi-annual harmonic signal estimated within a global solution



estimated only for stations which participated in more than 50 sessions

arrow pointing towards north depicts that the maximum appears in January (it continues clock-wise further)

### Time series for station Westford

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{2} A_{i} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{mjd - mjd_{0}}{P_{i}} 2\pi + \Phi_{i}\right)$$

estimated harmonic model at annual (1) and semi-annual period (2)







 $A_{1} = 0.04 \pm 0.03 \text{ cm}$   $\varphi_{1} = 43.2 \pm 35.0 \text{ deg}$   $A_{2} = 0.18 \pm 0.03 \text{ cm}$  $\varphi_{2} = 120.2 \pm 7.8 \text{ deg}$ 

we follow Tesmer et al. (2009)

- we estimate session-wise stations coordinates w.r.t. the new VieTRF13b reference frame
- weighted mean value for each year was removed from the time series
- all estimates were stacked into one mean year (in local VEN system)
- smoothing of the mean annual signal with a "smoothing spline" predefined in MatLab, as weights the formal errors of the estimated coordinates were used



we follow Tesmer et al. (2009)

- we estimate session-wise stations coordinates w.r.t. the new VieTRF13b reference frame
- weighted mean value for each year was removed from the time series
- all estimates were stacked into one mean year (in local VEN system)
- smoothing of the mean annual signal with a "smoothing spline" predefined in MatLab, as weights the formal errors of the estimated coordinates were used



12

#### we follow Tesmer et al. (2009)

- we estimate session-wise stations coordinates w.r.t. the new VieTRF13b reference frame
- weighted mean value for each year was removed from the time series
- all estimates were stacked into one mean year (in local VEN system)
- smoothing of the mean annual signal with a "smoothing spline" predefined in MatLab, as weights the formal errors of the estimated coordinates were used



WETTZELL

we follow Tesmer et al. (2009)

- we estimate session-wise stations coordinates w.r.t. the new VieTRF13b reference frame
- weighted mean value for each year was removed from the time series
- all estimates were stacked into one mean year (in local VEN system)
- smoothing of the mean annual signal with a "smoothing spline" predefined in MatLab, as weights the formal errors of the estimated coordinates were used



### Time series for station Wettzell

Estimated mean annual non-harmonic model

#### WETTZELL



in each global solution TRF+CRF+EOP were estimated

**Solution 1** – reference parameterization

Solution 2 – harmonic model of station displacement (annual and semiannual) was applied a priori on station coordinates



in each global solution TRF+CRF+EOP were estimated

- **Solution 1** reference parameterization
- Solution 2 harmonic model of station displacement (annual and semiannual) was applied a priori on station coordinates

Solution 3 – mean annual non-harmonic model applied a priori on station coordinates



in each global solution TRF+CRF+EOP were estimated

- **Solution 1** reference parameterization
- Solution 2 harmonic model of station displacement (annual and semiannual) was applied a priori on station coordinates
- Solution 3 mean annual non-harmonic model applied a priori on station coordinates

Comparison of WRMS over the differences for the RA and De component w.r.t. VieCRF13b

WRMS [microas]	RA	De	
Solution 1	15.825	15.460	
Solution 2	15.811	15.471	
Solution 3	15.830	15.487	

#### Weighted rotational parameters

	<mark>S2 – S1</mark>	S3 – S1	S3 – S2
A1 [microas]	0.11 ± 0.12	0.04 ± 0.08	-0.07± 0.10
A2 [microas]	-0.07 ± 0.12	0.01 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.10
A3 [microas]	-0.02 ± 0.12	-0.00 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.10



### Comparison of time series of selected sources

8 most observed sources

0552+398, 1741-038, 0727-115, 0851+202, 1749+096, 1334-127, 0454-234, 0229+131



- each of the 3 global solutions was computed again
- the coordinates of the 8 most observed sources were session-wise reduced (together with the 39 special handling sources)
- they were estimated as "arc parameters" within a back solution

#### session-wise normal equation systems



after the global adjustment we know the vector  $x_1$  (global parameters); the vector  $x_2$  we get from a so-called back solution for each session:

$$x_2 = N_{22}^{-1} \cdot b_2 - N_{22}^{-1} \cdot N_{21} \cdot x_1$$

# Comparison of the time series of 0552+398 – the most observed source



WRMS of the difference in RA w.r.t. VieCRF13b Solution 1: 109.28 microas Solution 2: 109.05 microas Solution 3: 109.14 microas



WRMS of the difference in De w.r.t. VieCRF13b Solution 1: 91.27 microas Solution 2: 91.25 microas Solution 3: 91.30 microas

## EOP: x-pole



## EOP: y-pole



**S2 – S1** max: 71.5 microas min: -65.3 microas



**S3 – S1** 

max: 15.3 microas min: -9.8 microas

## EOP: dUT1



24

## EOP: dX



**S3 – S1** 

max: 1.4 microas min: -1.1 microas

**S2 – S1** max: 1.5 micros min: -1.4 micros





25

## EOP: dY



**S3 – S1** 

max: 0.5 microas min: -1.0 microas

**S2 – S1** max: 1.5 micros min: -1.6 micros



## Conclusions

- New terrestrial and celestial reference frames (called VieTRF13b and VieCRF13b, covering the time span 1984.0 2013.3) were introduced.
- Two kinds of models for remaining long-period signal in station coordinates were created. One of them being the harmonic model at annual and semi-annual periods, the second one a non-harmonic mean annual model.
- Seasonal station movements do not yield any significant systematic effect on the CRF but can cause a significant change in position of radio sources with small number of sessions non-evenly distributed over the year fraction.
- A strong influence of estimated ERP (polar motion and UT1) is seen between the standard solution S1 and solution S2 which applies the harmonic annual and semi-annual model of the remaining signal at station coordinates.





*JOURNÉES 2013 Systèmes de Référence Spatio-Temporels* 

## Thank you for your attention!

Hana Krásná works within FWF-Project P23-143-N21 "Integrated VLBI".





Treatment of discontinuities VLBI-DISCONT.txt (prepared by the NASA GSFC, VLBI analysis group)

in case of an antenna repair - independent coordinates before and after the event are estimated, velocity is constrained to be constant in case of an Earthquake - independent coordinates together with linear velocity are estimated before and after the event



#### **Recent large Earthquakes:**

- Constraining of velocity for :
  - Richmond Miami20
  - Wettzell Tigowtzl
  - Yebes Yebes40m
  - Kashima Kashima34
  - Hobart26 Hobart12
  - HartRAO Hart15m



- Constraining of velocity for stations in the same area
  - Richmond Miami20
  - Wettzell Tigowtzl
  - Yebes Yebes40m
  - Kashima Kashima34
  - Hobart26 Hobart12
  - HartRAO Hart15m



# Comparison of all common sources differences in source positions



## Comparison of formal errors of the CRF



mean difference of the formal errors

#### RA

S2 – S1: -0.114 microas
S3 – S1: -0.107 microas

#### De

S2 – S1: -0.125 microas
S3 – S1: -0.118 microas