




WallyPacholka / AstroPics.com



# Astrometry goes back over 5000 years!

Credit: Heritage Malta




Mnajdra,  
Malta

©2011 C.S. Jacobs, used by permission



Island of Malta  
Ggantija ~3500 B.C.  
Mnajdra ~3200 B.C.




Mnajdra solar alignments



Credit: Heritage Malta



# Celestial Reference Frames



Christopher S. Jacobs

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

15 Sep 2013





# Outline



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The 'fixed' stars aren't so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI's pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using *Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)*

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame
- D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy, billion sources





# I. A. Concepts for Celestial Frames



## 1. **Questions:**

Why do we need reference frames? Celestial Frames?  
Time, positions, velocities

## 2. **The Celestial Frames**

Terrestrial: Azimuth, Elevation  
Equatorial plane: Right Ascension & Declination  
Ecliptic Plane: Ecliptic Longitude & Latitude  
Galactic Plane: Galactic Longitude & Latitude

## 3. **Inertial Frames**

No rotation  
No acceleration  
Quasi-inertial



# I. A.1 Why a Celestial Frame?



Questions:

Why do we need reference frames? Celestial Frames?

To measure Time, positions, and velocities

Time: The rotation of the earth

Positions & velocities:

Angular positions and distances of

Quasars, galaxies, stars, planets, spacecraft





## I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere



Preferred Frame changes with scale and application


- **Local terrestrial:** Elevation, Azimuth  
Local gravity or normal to horizon gives preferred direction  
Useful for antenna pointing
- **Equatorial plane:** Right Ascension & Declination  
Earth's spin gives preferred direction
- **Ecliptic Plane:** Ecliptic longitude & latitude  
plane of solar system, planetary orbits  
useful for studying the solar system and  
inter-planetary navigation
- **Galactic Plane:** Galactic Longitude & latitude  
plane of Milky Way galaxy  
Useful for pulsars, masers, rotation curves...
- **Even larger structure:** local group of galaxies, Virgo cluster, ...



## I. A.2 Local Horizon: Azimuth, Elevation



- Local terrestrial: **Elevation, Azimuth**  
Local gravity or normal to horizon gives preferred direction  
Useful for antenna pointing







# I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere



## Equatorial System:

Earth's spin axis gives preferred direction, the celestial pole


## Coordinates on the sky:

Right Ascension (“longitude”)

Declination (“latitude” )

## Ecliptic Plane:

Ecliptic Longitude & Ecliptic Latitude  
plane of solar system  
useful for studying the solar system and inter-planetary navigation




Credit: <http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/C/celsphere.html>




# I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere




- Galactic Plane: Galactic Longitude,  $l$ , & Galactic latitude,  $b$   
Useful for pulsars, masers, rotation curves...



Credit: Robert Hurt & NASA  
<http://tracingknowledge.wordpress.com/tag/milky-way/>



<http://astronomy.swin.edu.au/cosmos/N/North+Galactic+Pole>



Galactic center: VLA radio image  
Kassim, NRAO. <http://images.nrao.edu/326>







# I. A.2 The Celestial Sphere



- How far before we get to the quasars? Even larger structures: local group of galaxies, Virgo cluster, Virgo super cluster...




~3 Million light years



~100 Million light years



# Quasars ~ Giga-parsec; Virgo cluster distance (50 Mpc)







## I. A.3 Inertial Frames



- Why an Inertial Frame?  
Make the calculations easy! Avoid Coriolis forces etc.

No rotation

No acceleration




Univ. Illinois WW2010 Project  
[http://ww2010.atmos.uiuc.edu/\(Gh\)/guides/mtr/fw/crls.rxml](http://ww2010.atmos.uiuc.edu/(Gh)/guides/mtr/fw/crls.rxml)

- Quasi-inertial  
In real systems we have some unmodeled accelerations  
At present, VLBI doesn't yet model acceleration toward the Galactic center, but this is being studied  
e.g. Titov et al <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1301.0364v1.pdf>
- VLBI uses quasi-inertial frame with origin at the Solar System Barycenter (center of mass)





# How Does VLBI Work? It's Simple ;-)



Cartoon credit: Rube Goldberg

Figure: [www.vedicciences.net/intelligent/rube-goldberg.jpg](http://www.vedicciences.net/intelligent/rube-goldberg.jpg)



# How Does VLBI Work?


*Combine signals  
from a  
Phased Array*



# Antennas are Mechanical Arrays




Single Large Dish  
is an “array” of  
panels aligned  
mechanically.  
Note side lobes.



beam

Imagine removing  
inner panels, then  
beam pattern changes,  
sidelobes rise, but  
center lobe still has  
high resolution  
 $\sim \text{wavelength} / D$








Mechanical → electrical alignment → VLBI




Two segments of antenna



“Fringes”

Two separate antennas with Electrical Connection



Same fringes as b).

Unconnected Antennas = VLBI  
Time tag data and combine signals later at correlator



Same fringes as b).




# VLBI Delay: $\tau = B \cdot s / c$



## Very Long Baseline Interferometry is a type of station differenced range

- Measures geometric delay by cross-correlating signal from two (2) stations





# Outline



## I. Concepts and Background:

A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties

**B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame**

**ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, ESA, LBA, AuScope, etc.**

C. Brief history of Astrometry: The 'fixed' stars aren't so fixed.

1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax

2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI's pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS

B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)

C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement

B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame

C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy





# I.B. Observing Networks



## VLBA

S/X VCS catalog

K, Q catalogs

25-meter dishes

10 stations

Baselines up to  
8000 km

**No southern  
stations**



Very Large Baseline Array <http://www.vlba.nrao.edu/>



# I.B. Observing Networks: EVN



EVN

S/X-band

K-band

Inhomogeneous  
set of antennas

+ HartRAO  
South Africa




European VLBI Network <http://www.evlbi.org/>





# I.B. Observing Networks: Global



Map credit: Tae-Hyun, Jung (MPIfR, 2004)  
<http://www3.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/tkrichbaum/Global-VLBI.html>





# I.B. Spacecraft Ka Deep Space Networks



ESA's Argentina 35-meter antenna **adds 3 baselines** to DSN's 2 baselines Maps credit: Google maps

- Full sky coverage by accessing south polar cap
- near perpendicular mid-latitude baselines: CA to Aust./Argentina



# I.C. History of Astrometry



130 B.C.	Hipparchus	Precession	50 asec/yr
<i>Telescope era:</i>			
1718 A.D.	Halley	proper motions	1 asec/yr
1729	Bradley	annual aberration	20 asec
1730	Bradley	18.6yr nutation	9 asec
1838	Bessell	parallax	~ asec
1930s	Jansky, Reber	Radio astronomy	
1960s	several groups	Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) invented	
1970s	“	VLBI	sub-asec
1980s	“	“	few 0.001 asec
1990s	“	“	< 0.001 asec
2000s	“	“	~0.0001 asec
2010s	Gaia	Optical astrometry	70 $\mu$ as for Vmag=18 quasar
2010s	ICRF-3, ESA-DSN XKa		20-70 $\mu$ as? 0.3 Jy quasar



# Paradigm of “Sailing by the stars”




Photo Credit: Dmitry Bobroff, [www.ludmillaalexander.com](http://www.ludmillaalexander.com)






# NASA Navigation System Accuracy



1959-2015



Credit: J.E. Patterson, J.S. Border, C.S. Jacobs





# How Does VLBI Work?





# Point Source at Infinity as Reference Beacon



## How does VLBI work?

- Point source at infinity as a direction reference

Extragalactic “nebulae” idea from

Laplace (1749-1827) and

Wm. Herschel (1738-1822): *in 1785*

*realized that “nebulae” likely very distant*

*‘On the Construction of the Heavens,’ Ph.Trans.Roy.Soc., 1785, p. 213 ff.*

- Advantage: **sources don’t move**

**BUT** at a distance of a *billion* light years . . .

- The price to be paid is


**Very weak sources**

**1 Jy = 1.0E-26 watt/m\*\*2/Hz**

**need lots of square meters => 34 - 70m Antenna**

**lots of Hz bandwidth => 0.1 to 4 Gbps**


**low system temperature => Tsys = 20 - 40 Kelvin**



*Credit: chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2008/cena/cena\_multi.jpg*




# Why observe in Radio? The 'Window'





# AGN Centaurus-A in X-ray, Optical, Radio




Credits: X-ray (NASA/CXC/M. Karovska et al.); Radio 21-cm image (NRAO/VLA/Schiminovich, et al.),  
Radio continuum image (NRAO/VLA/J. Condon et al.); Optical (Digitized Sky Survey U.K. Schmidt Image/STScI)





# Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) schematic



Schematic of  
*Active Galactic Nuclei*

Redshift  $z \sim 0.1$  to  $5$

Distance:  
billions light years

Parallax = 0

Proper motion


$< 0.1$  nrad/yr

Centroid of radiation  
Gets closer to central  
engine (black hole)  
As one goes to higher  
frequencies, therefore,


Ka-band (32 GHz)  
is better than  
X-band (8.4 GHz)

[http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/objects/agn/agn\\_model.html](http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/objects/agn/agn_model.html)


Credit: C.M. Urry and P. Padovani, 1995




# Source Structure vs. Frequency




S-band  
2.3 GHz  
13.6cm



X-band  
8.6 GHz  
3.6cm



K-band  
24 GHz  
1.2cm



Q-band  
43 GHz  
0.7cm


↑  
Ka-band  
32 GHz  
0.9cm

The sources become better ----->

Image credit: P. Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010



# Active Galactic Nuclei (*Marscher*)



$R \sim 0.1 - 1 \mu\text{as}$

1mas

Features of AGN: *Note the Logarithmic length scale.*

“Shock waves are frequency stratified, with highest synchrotron frequencies emitted only close to the shock front where electrons are energized. The part of the jet interior to the mm-wave core is opaque at cm wavelengths. At this point, it is not clear whether substantial emission occurs between the base of the jet and the mm-wave core.”

Credit: Alan Marscher, ‘Relativistic Jets in Active Galactic Nuclei and their relationship to the Central Engine,’ Proc. of Science, VI Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars & Beyond, Societa del Casino, Como, Italy, 18-22 Sep 2006. Overlay (not to scale): 3 mm radio image of the blazar 3C454.3 (Krichbaum et al. 1999)



# Celestial Reference Frame: Long term stability



*GPS is not sufficient* for a long term inertial frame


**Orientation: Relative to what?**

**One must define stable (ppb) reference directions**

- GPS orbits are well modelled (ppb) over ~day time periods.

*But . . .*

- **GPS constellation node drifts over weeks. . .**



1.e18  
increase  
in range

**Solution: Change sources from range of  
GPS' s nano-Light year to  
VLBI's Giga-Light Years  
~eighteen (18) orders of magnitude!**





# Celestial Pole & Alignment of Axes




From [German Wikipedia](#),  
by [User Herbye](#).

- VLBI determines angles *between* sources
- Absolute positions only weakly determined at 10-100 mas level by tidal effects (RA, dec of Sun & Moon) and atmospheric effects (elevation)
- Orientation of axes is defined at sub-mas level by convention
- Enforced by No-Net-Rotation constraint:


$$\sum_{i=1}^N s \times \Delta s = 0$$

where  $s$  direction is source unit vector  
cf. [Jacobs et al, IVS, 2010](#).

<http://ivscc.gsfc.nasa.gov/publications/gm2010/jacobs2.pdf>



Credit: [www.4physics.com](http://www.4physics.com)



# Outline



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS**
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)**
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)**

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame
- D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy



## II.B. The Transition from Optical to Radio



- Optical to Radio transition era documented in  
Hans Walter & Ojars Sovers, *Astrometry of Fundamental Catalogues: The Evolution from Optical to Radio Reference Frames*, 2000  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000afce.conf.....W>
- Fundamental Katalog FK5 (Fricke, 1988)  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1988VeARI..32....1F>  
1535 stars limited by proper motions of stars  
~150 mas regional differences from ICRF1 <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1997IAUJD...7E..24M>
- IAU called for a move to Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)  
obtain very distant sources (redshift  $\sim 1$ ,  $\sim 5$  billion light years)  
No parallax, no proper motion
- IAU formed in 1990s a working group on  
International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF)
- ICRF-1 adopted by the IAU as on 1998 Jan 01.  
Ma et al, *AJ*, 116, 516, 1998 <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998AJ....116..516M>





## II.A. Surveys: How are sources found? Positions?



1. **Single dish surveys:** A single radio telescope sweeps the sky to search for point-like sources. Example: Parkes-MIT-NRAO 4.8 GHz (Griffith & Wright, 1993)   
 ~10 arcsec positions.

<http://www.parkes.atnf.csiro.au/observing/databases/pmn/pmnpubs.html> 1993AJ....105.1666G

2. **Connected element array surveys:**

- next step is interferometric connected arrays such as the Very Large Array or ATCA
- **Positions improved to 10s of milli-arcsec**

- **North:** Jodrell Bank VLA Survey (JVAS) (Patnaik et al, MNRAS, 1992)

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1992MNRAS.254..655P>



<http://www.vla.nrao.edu/>

- **South:** ATCA 20-GHz (AT20G), 5890 sources, Southern hemisphere

(Murphy et al, MNRAS, 2010)

<http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/AT20G> <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.402.2403M>

3. **Final Survey stage:** VLBI gets ~milli-arcsec positions e.g


- **North:** VLBA Calibrator Survey (Beasley et al, ApJS, 2002)

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJS..141...13B>

- **South:** LBA Calibrator Survey, (Petrov et al, MNRAS, 2011)

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.2607> <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011MNRAS.414.2528P>


### Southern Hemisphere



<http://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/public/>



## II.A. Surveys: milli-arcsec VLBI surveys




South:

LBA Cal Survey 1:

~1 mas accuracy

view from south pole

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1012.2607v2.pdf>



North:

VLBA Calibrator Survey

~2200 sources, ~1 mas

Hammer-Aitoff Projection

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJS..141...13B>

Figure credit: C.S. Jacobs




# 1st International Celestial Reference Frame




- ICRF-1 adopted by the IAU as on 1998 Jan 01.

Ma et al, AJ, 116, 516, 1998 <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998AJ...116..516M>



212 “Defining” sources  
which define the orientation  
of the frame’s axes.

**Weak in the south.**



“Candidate” sources (left)  
Plus a few “other” sources  
For a total of 608 sources.



---

## Current Status of Celestial Reference Frames at radio wavelengths:

**S/X ICRF2:** 3.6cm, 8 GHz


**K-band:** 1.2cm, 24 GHz

**X/Ka-band:** 9mm, 32 GHz





# ICRF-2 S/X 3.6cm: 3414 sources




40  $\mu$ as floor. ~1200 obj. well observed, ~2000 survey session only

Credit: Ma et al, eds. Fey, Gordon, Jacobs, IERS Tech. Note 35, Germany, 2009

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ITN....35....1M>




# ICRF2 S/X 3.6cm: 295 Defining sources




295 “best” sources Define the orientation of the axes. **Weak in the South**

Credit: Ma et al, eds. Fey, Gordon, Jacobs, IERS Tech. Note 35, Germany, 2009

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ITN....35....1M>



# K-band 1.2cm: 278 Sources



VLBA all northern, poor below Dec.  $-30^\circ$ .  $\Delta\text{Dec vs. Dec tilt} = 500 \mu\text{as}$

Credit: Lanyi et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010; Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010




# K-band 1.2cm vs. ICRF2 at 3.6cm (S/X)



Lack of direct  
Dual-band ion  
Calibrations  
*and*  
Lack of any  
Station in south

Leads to poor  
 $\Delta$ Dec vs. Dec  
Zonal stability:  
500  $\mu$ as tilt



### K(1.2cm) Declinations vs. S/X ICRF2 (current IAU standard)


Credit: K(1.2cm): Lanyi et al, AJ, 139,5, 2010

S/X ICRF2: Ma et al, editors: Fey, Gordon & Jacobs, IERS, Germany, 2009





# X/Ka RA results (NASA-ESA): 627 Sources




Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Australia + Malargüe to Canberra, Goldstone, Madrid.  
 134 sources in south cap (dec<-45); 27 ICRF2 Defining; 2/3 of south cap non-ICRF2

Credit: Horiuchi et al, AP\_RASC, 2013



# X/Ka Dec results (NASA-ESA): 627 Sources



DSN: Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Canberra  
**+ ESA baselines: Malargüe to Canberra, Goldstone, Madrid**




# 9mm (X/Ka) vs. ICRF2 at 3.6cm (S/X)



Dual-band ion  
Calibrations  
*and*  
Station in south

Leads to better  
 $\Delta$ Dec vs. Dec  
Zonal stability:

108  $\pm$  90  $\mu$ as tilt



**X/Ka(9mm) Dec. vs. S/X ICRF2 (current IAU standard)**




*S/X ICRF2: Ma et al, editors: Fey, Gordon & Jacobs, IERS, Germany, 2009*



# Planetary Ephemeris to ICRF Frame Tie



- $\Delta$ VLBI measurements of spacecraft around a planet obtains position in the ICRF frame
- Doppler and range measures spacecraft in planet center Frame.



Folkner et al, IAU, Aug. 2012  
200  $\mu$ as (1. nrad) residuals

<http://referencesystems.info/uploads/3/0/3/0/3030024/folkner.pdf>  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IAUJD...7E..36F>





# Overview



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement**
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame**
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame**
- D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy**




Principle: Identify & Correct Dominant Problems




# Error Budget for Reference Frame VLBI

*The Tall Tent Poles*



# $\Delta$ VLBI Error Budget





# Overview



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)


## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement**
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame**
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame**
- D. Gaia: an optical frame with high accuracy**






# Status 2012: X/Ka RA results 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. **Weakens south of Dec = -15deg**



# Status 2012: X/Ka Dec results 482 Sources



Cal. to Madrid, Cal. to Australia. **Weakens southward.** **No  $\Delta$ Dec tilt**



# Focus Work on the Tall Tent Poles



Systems Analysis shows dominant Errors are

- **Limited SNR/sensitivity**
  - already increased bit rates in 2009: 112 to 448 Mbps.
  - 2048 Mbps fringes May 2013. 1-2 Gbps operational in 2014
- **Instrumentation:** already building better hardware
  - BWG phase calibrators, Digital baseband conversion & filters
- **Troposphere:** better calibrations being explored
- **Weak geometry in Southern hemisphere**
  - Limits accuracy to about 1 nrad (200  $\mu$ as) level
  - Need observations below Declination of -45 Deg!
  - DSN at X/Ka had only Canberra, Australia (DSS 34)
  - Needed 2nd site in the Southern hemisphere especially for upcoming southern ecliptic missions: Maven (2014), Exo-Mars (2016), InSight (2016).



# Attacking the Error budget



- **SNR can be improved +6 to 9 dB!**
- Instrumentation:
  - Phase calibration with test signals
  - Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere calcs: WVR
- Southern Geometry





# Results have been limited by SNR



## Solution:

### 1) More bits:

4X operational

16X R&D

in ~6-12 months

Will yield +3-6 dB

SNR increase

### 2) Ka pointing


Now with improved

Pointing calibrations

~3 dB more SNR

Total vs. early passes

+6-9 dB SNR increase!



Results have been SNR limited for SNR < 30 (15 dB)



# Phased implementation, testing



- **Data rate: 43 passes @ 112 Mbps (X/Ka 56/ 56 Mbps)**
  - 3 passes @ 224 Mbps (X/Ka 80/144 ) ~ 3X**
  - 30 recent @ 448 Mbps (X/Ka 160/288 ) ~ 5X**
  - 1 recent @ 2048 Mbps (X/Ka 512/1536 ) ~27X**

Total Ka improvement 56 to 1536 Mbps => 5-10 psec del. precision

**Reduces SNR below troposphere with increased Ka sensitivity!**  
**Thus SNR will longer be the tallest tent pole.**

Credit: NASA: C. Jacobs, D. Bagri, E. Clark, C. Garcia-Miro, C. Goodhart, S. Horiuchi, S. Lowe, E. Moll, L. Skjerve, L White




# Example: Ka-band Antenna Pointing



White pts.  
Represent  
Non-detection

Note Northern  
concentration  
of non-detects

Later, we got  
independent  
confirmation  
from ACME  
automated  
bore sight  
system of  
18 mdeg  
errors






# Attacking the Error budget



- SNR can be improved +8 dB!
- **Instrumentation:**
  - Phase calibration with test signals
  - Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere calcs: WVR
- Southern Geometry





# Results limited by No BWG Phase cal



## Problem:

180 psec  
~diurnal  
effect


## Solution:

Ka-band  
Phasecal  
Prototype  
Demo'd

-- >

Units being  
Built.

Operations  
in ~1 year






## BWG Phase Calibrator


- Concept: Tunnel diode  
Alan Rogers et al (Haystack)
- JPL prototype BWG phase cal:  
Hammel, Tucker, & Calhoun,  
JPL Progress Report, 2003
- Production units: Blake Tucker

[http://tmo.jpl.nasa.gov/progress\\_report/42-154/154H.pdf](http://tmo.jpl.nasa.gov/progress_report/42-154/154H.pdf)


<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003IPNPR.154....1H>



Tunnel Diode Chip  
0.055" diameter by  
0.020" thick  
Mounted on  
0.119" diameter carrier  
for solid grounding



Direct interface to K connector inside coaxial structure.



Pulse driver mounted as close as possible and fed through coaxial structure to minimize rise time and ringing





# Sample, Baseband convert, Filter, Record



IF select switch:  
12 inputs allows  
multiple bands,  
multiple antennas




Command & Control

Mark-5C recorder



Sampler: 1280 MHz, 8-bit/sample



Copper to fiber, **Digital filter**, Format





# Summary of Instrumental Improvements



<u>Instrument</u>	<u>MkIV</u>	<u>DBE/Mk5-C</u>	<u>Comment</u>
<b>Filters</b>	<b>Analog 7-pole Butterworth</b>	<b>Digital FIR phase linear</b>	<b>removes phase ripple in channel</b>
<b>Spanned bandwidth</b>	<b>360 MHz</b>	<b>500 MHz</b>	<b>Mk4 limit 1.4X improvement</b>
<b>Data rate @ start</b>	<b>112 Mbps</b>		<b>DSN SNR limited</b>
<b>@ max.</b>	<b>896 Mbps</b>		<b>trop/inst. limited</b>
<b>@ start</b>		<b>2048 Mbps</b>	<b>trop/inst. limited</b>
<b>@ max.</b>		<b>4096 Mbps</b>	<b>6X sensitivity</b>
<b>Phase Cal: HEF/70m</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>BWG</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>removes 100s of psec</b>



# Attacking the Error budget



- SNR can be improved +8 dB!
- Instrumentation:
  - Phase calibration with test signals
  - Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- **Troposphere cals: WVR**
- Southern Geometry




# Troposphere Solution 1: Better Estimation



- Modified Least Squares to account for observation correlations -- both temporal and *spatial*
- Use Kolmogorov frozen flow model of Treuhaft & Lanyi (Radio Sci. 1987)  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1941DoSSR..32...16K>  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1987RaSc...22..251T>
- Model increases information available to the estimation process
  - 1) Reduces parameter biases
  - 2) Reduces parameter sigmas
- Validation: Currently improves agreement X/Ka vs. S/X catalogs by about 10% in Declinations.  
Expect ~30% after SNR & phase cal errors peeled away to reveal troposphere errors.

Romero-Wolf & Jacobs, IVS, 2012 [http://www.oan.es/gm2012/pdf/oral\\_id\\_119.pdf](http://www.oan.es/gm2012/pdf/oral_id_119.pdf)





# Calibrating Troposphere Turbulence



- JPL Advanced Water Vapor Radiometer

~ 1 deg beam better matches VLBI  
improved gain stability  
improved conversion of brightness  
temperature to path delay

Tanner & Riley, Radio Sci., 38, 2003

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003RaSc...38.8050T>



- Initial demos show 1mm accuracy  
Goldstone-Madrid 8000 km baseline  
using X/Ka phase delays


*Jacobs et al, AAS Winter 2005.*

*Bar Sever et al, IEEE, 2007.*

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007IEEEP..95.2180B>

- A-WVRs deployed at Goldstone/Madrid  
Seeking funding for Tidbinbilla, Aus
- **A-WVR not used yet for Operations**

## VLBI Delay Residuals DOY 200 Ka-Band DSS26-DSS55








# Attacking the Error budget



- SNR can be improved +8 dB!
- Instrumentation:
  - Phase calibration with test signals
  - Digital Baseband Conversion & Filtering
- Troposphere calcs: WVR

- **Southern  
Geometry**






# Need 2nd Station in South



- Almost no Ka sources meet the accuracy goal south of equator!

DSN X/Ka Frame after 50 sessions



- No coverage of South polar cap (-45 to -90 Dec)
- DSN weakly covers southern Ecliptic: only one strong baseline as California-Spain is weak in south

## Declination 1-sigma

Orange	0-0.5 nrad meets future $\Delta$ DOR spec
Red	0.5-1.0 current $\Delta$ DOR spec
Green	1.0-1.5
Blue	1.5-2.5
Purple	2.5-5.0
White	5.0



# Southern VLBI Stations?



- ESA Deep Space Antennas (DSA-1, 2, 3)
  - New Norcia, Australia S/X (DSN Canberra, 3000km)
  - **Malargue, Argentina: Ideal,**




Operational Jan 2013, NASA-ESA collaboration

- 35m, X/Ka-band, 9,500 km baseline
- Dry desert site is good for Ka-band
- HA-Dec coverage: Tidbinbilla to Malargue:



Malargue 35-m X/Ka, photo credit: L.A. White, Dec. 2012


- HartRAO, South Africa
  - 26-meter Resurfaced in 2005 (0.5mm RMS) efficient to 22 GHz
  - K-band CRF: *DeWitt et al, and Bertarini et al, Journees 2013.*
- Hobart, Tasmania, 12-m (S/X) and 26-m S/X, K-band
- Warkworth, New Zealand, 12-m S/X
- Tidbinbilla, Australia: S/X (34m), X/Ka (34m), K (70m)




HartRAO 26-meter Photo credit: Thomas Abbott



# DSS 34 to Malargue, Argentina (DSA-3)




Simulated  
Coverage:  
**Dec +10 deg  
to -90 deg**





# Simulation of Added Southern Station



## *Before Southern Data*

- 50 real X/Ka sessions augmented by simulated data  
simulate 1000 group delays, SNR = 50  
~9000 km baseline: Australia to S. America or S. Africa

## *After*

### Declination Sigma

- Orange: < 100  $\mu\text{as}$
- Red: < 200
- Green: < 300
- Blue: < 500
- Purple: < 1000
- White: > 1000

- Completes Declination coverage: cap region -45 to -90 deg  
200  $\mu\text{as}$  (1 nrad) precision in south polar cap,  
mid south 200-1000  $\mu\text{as}$ , all with just a few days observing.

Bourda, Charlot, Jacobs, 2011 <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011EAS....45..377B>






# Malargüe: The Next X/Ka VLBI Station




X/Ka: ESA Deep Space Antenna DSA 03

- **Malargüe, Argentina**
- Fall-2012 NASA/ESA collaboration
- 35-m, X/Ka-band, 9,500 km baseline  
Argentina-Australia covers south polar cap  
Full sky coverage for X/Ka!!
- Argentina-California & Australia-California  
orthogonal baselines for mid-latitudes
- High (1.5km), dry desert site: good for Ka-band
- HA-Dec coverage: Tidbinbilla to Malargüe:



Malargüe, Argentina 35-meter as of 26 Sept .2012

ESA Deep Space Antenna  
*X/Ka-band capable*





# X/Ka stations for Celestial Frame




ESA's Argentina 35-meter antenna **adds 3 baselines** to DSN's 2 baselines

- Full sky coverage by accessing south polar cap
- near perpendicular mid-latitude baselines: CA to Aust./Argentina

Maps credit: Google maps



# NASA-ESA 32GHz RA results: 627 sources




Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Australia + Malargüe to Canberra, Goldstone, Madrid.  
 134 sources in south cap (dec<-45); 27 ICRF2 Defining; 2/3 of south cap non-ICRF2






# NASA-only 32GHz Dec results: 482 sources



DSN only data before Oct 2012: Goldstone, CA to Madrid, Australia.  
Weak in the mid-south (Dec 0 to -45), no south Polar Cap (-45 to -90)



# NASA-ESA 32GHz Dec results: 627 sources



DSN: Goldstone, CA to Madrid & Canberra  
**+ ESA baselines: Malargüe to Canberra, Goldstone, Madrid**





# Outline



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame
  - ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), XKa (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Next-generation geodetic VLBI: Ultra-wide 2-14 GHz
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame**
- D. Gaia: the return of optical



## III.C. ICRF-3



### 3<sup>rd</sup> generation International Celestial Reference Frame

#### Assessment of needs for ICRF-3

1. VLBA Cal Survey is most (2/3) of ICRF-2  
but positions are 5X worse than rest of ICRF-2
2. ICRF-2 is weak in the south
3. High frequency frames  
Fewer sources, weak in the south

#### Goals:

1. Complete ICRF-3 by 2018  
in time for comparisons with Gaia optical frame
2. Competitive accuracy with Gaia  $\sim 70 \mu\text{as}$  (1-sigma RA, Dec)
3. Uniform precision for all sources. Implies improving VCS positions.
4. High frequency frames (K, XKa, Q?)  
Improve number, accuracy, and southern coverage
5. Maximize high quality optical-radio tie sources

**ICRF-2 reference: Ma et al, IERS, 2009.** <http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ITN....35....1M>




Figure Credit: Heinkelmann, EVGA, 2013



# III.C. ICRF-3 Needs




- Uneven precision of current ICRF-2 VCS's 2200 sources (2/3 of the ICRF-2)
- VCS precision is typically 1000  $\mu\text{as}$  5 times worse than the rest of ICRF2!

### Good news:

- VLBA Cal Survey-II  
VLBA approved 8 x 24-hour sessions to re-observe VCS sources.


PI: David Gordon, GSFC  
First pass scheduled and waiting in the VLBA queue



ICRF2: VCS vs. Non	Item	VCS	non-VCS	factor	
	N_src	2197	1217	VCS	1.8X better
	median sessions	1	13	VCS	13X worse
	median observations	45	249	VCS	5.5X worse
	median time span	0	13 years	VCS	arbitrarily worse
	median RA sigma	621	130 $\mu\text{as}$	VCS	4.8X worse
	median Dec sigma	1136	194 $\mu\text{as}$	VCS	5.9X worse




# III.C. ICRF-3 Needs



Southern Hemisphere:

VLBI generally & ICRF-2 specifically lacks southern observations (Dec < -35 deg)

AuScope, Hobart, HartRAO exploring additional S/X observations



K-band frame (24 GHz) lacking in the south for Dec < -30 deg (limit of VLBA work)


K-band: HartRAO to Hobart, Tasmania

New K-band CRF collaboration: cf. Bertarini et al & de Witt et al, Journees 2013





# X/Ka-band (8/32 GHz) CRF



- **Deficiency: Weak in the south.** S. cap 134 sources (dec < -45); 27 ICRF2 Defining
- **Full sky coverage (627 sources):** NASA baselines CA to Madrid & Australia + recently added ESA Malargüe, Argentina to Tidbinbilla, Australia, PI: Jacobs



# Outline



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. What is a Reference frame? Concepts, uses, desired properties
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The 'fixed' stars aren't so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI's pursuit of (sub)milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish, connected array: JVAS, AT20G, and VLBI: VCS, LCS
- B. ICRF-1, ICRF-2: The IAU moves from optical (stars) to radio (quasars)
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), XKa (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Next-generation geodetic VLBI: Ultra-wide 2-14 GHz
- B. Case study: Path to Improved X/Ka (8.4/32 GHz) Frame
- C. ICRF-3: the next standard radio frame

### **D. Gaia: the return of optical**



## III.D. Gaia Optical Frame



# Gaia-Optical vs. VLBI-radio:

## Celestial Frame tie and Accuracy Verification



# Gaia frame tie and accuracy verification



## Gaia: $10^9$ stars

- 500,000 quasars  $V < 20$   
20,000 quasars  $V < 18$
- radio loud 30-300+ mJy  
and optically bright:  $V < 18$   
~2000 quasars
- Accuracy  
70  $\mu\text{as}$  @  $V=18$   
25  $\mu\text{as}$  @  $V=16$


## Gaia References:

Lindgren et al, IAU 248, 2008  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008IAUS..248..217L>

Mignard, IAU, JD-7, 2012

[http://referencesystems.info/uploads/3/0/3/0/3030024/fmignard\\_iau\\_jd7\\_s3.pdf](http://referencesystems.info/uploads/3/0/3/0/3030024/fmignard_iau_jd7_s3.pdf)  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IAUJD...7E..27M>

- S/X Frame Tie Strategy:  
Bring new optically bright quasars  
into the S/X radio frame  
use sources with S/X fluxes 30-100 mJy  
(Bourda et al, EVN, Bordeaux, 2012)



Launch in Fall 2013

*Mignard talk:  
Journées 2013*




Figure credit: [http://www.esa.int/esaSC/120377\\_index\\_1\\_m.html#subhead7](http://www.esa.int/esaSC/120377_index_1_m.html#subhead7)




# Optical vs. Radio positions




Positions differences from:

- Astrophysics of emission centroids
  - radio: synchrotron from jet
  - optical: synchrotron from jet?  
non-thermal ionization from corona?  
big blue bump from accretion disk?
- Instrumental errors both radio & optical
- Analysis errors

Radio-quiet Quasar



Radio-loud Quasar




Credit: Wehrle et al, *gas Science*, Socorro, 2009  
<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009astro2010S.310W>






# 9mm vs. 3.6cm? Core shift & structure




Credit: A. Marscher, Proc. Sci., Italy, 2006.  
 Overlay image: Krichbaum, et al, IRAM, 1999.  
 Montage: Wehrle et al, ASTRO-2010, no. 310.

## Positions differences from 'core shift'


- wavelength dependent shift in radio centroid.
- *3.6cm to 9mm core shift:*
  - *100 μas in phase delay centroid?*
  - *<<100 μas in group delay centroid?* (Porcas, AA, 505, 1, 2009)
- shorter wavelength closer to Black hole and Optical: *9mm X/Ka better*
- *Event Horizon Telescope (230 GHz) probing ~10 Schwarzschild radii (Doelman et al)*




# Source Structure vs. Wavelength




S-band  
2.3 GHz  
13.6cm



X-band  
8.6 GHz  
3.6cm



K-band  
24 GHz  
1.2cm



Q-band  
43 GHz  
0.7cm

↑  
Ka-band  
32 GHz  
0.9cm

The sources become better ----->

Image credit: P. Charlot et al, AJ, 139, 5, 2010



# Optical brightness of X/Ka sources




Figure credit: Horiuchi et al, AP-RASC, 2013

Median optical magnitude  $V_{\text{med}} = 18.6$  magnitude (some obj. no data)  
> 136 of 627 objects optically bright by Gaia standard ( $V < 18$ )



# Gaia Optical vs. X/Ka frame tie



- Simulated Gaia measurement errors (sigma RA, Dec)  
median sigmas  $\sim 100 \mu\text{as}$  per component
- VLBI XKa radio sigmas  $\sim 200 \mu\text{as}$  per component and improving
- Covariance calculation of 3-D rotational tie  
using **current 9mm radio sigmas** and **simulated Gaia sigmas**  
Rx  $\pm 14 \mu\text{as}$       <- Weak. Needs south polar VLBI (Dec  $< -45$ )  
Ry  $\pm 11 \mu\text{as}$   
Rz  $\pm 10 \mu\text{as}$
- Now limited by radio sigmas for which 2-3X improvement possible.  
Potential for rotation sigmas  $\sim 5 \mu\text{as}$  per frame tie component



# Conclusions



## I. Concepts and Background:

- A. Desire nonrotating, non-accelerating frame. Use a quasi-inertial with some accelerations
- B. Networks: The instruments used to build the frame  
ad hoc, VLBA, EVN, Global, NASA-ESA DSN, ESA, LBA, AuScope, etc.
- C. Brief history of Astrometry: The ‘fixed’ stars aren’t so fixed.
  - 1. Precession, proper motion, nutation, parallax
  - 2. Invention of radio astronomy. VLBI’s pursuit of sub-milli-arcsecond accuracy.

## II. Celestial Frames built using VLBI

- A. Surveys: Single dish,  
connected arrays: Jodrell-VLA (JVAS, north), ATCA 20 GHz (AT20G, south),  
VLBI ~mas: VLBA Cal Survey (north), LBA Cal Survey (south)
- B. ICRF-1 (1998): The IAU moves to from optical (stars) to 212 Defining quasars.  
ICRF-2 (2009) : 295 defining sources, 3414 total, 40  $\mu$ as systematic floor
- C. Higher frequency radio frames: K&Q (24 & 43GHz), X/Ka (32 GHz)

## III. The Path to the Future:

- A. Error Budgets: a tool for allocating resources for improvement
- B. Case study: Improved X/Ka Frame: SNR, Instrumentation, Troposphere, *Geometry*
- C. ICRF-3 goals: 2018, improve south, improve VCS, improve K & X/Ka
- D. Gaia: 2021 the return of optical, 500,000 quasars, ~billion total sources




*Y yo, mínimo ser,  
ebrio del gran vacío constelado,  
a semejanza, a imagen del misterio,  
me sentí parte pura del abismo,  
rodé con las estrellas,  
mi corazón se desató en el viento.  
- Pablo Neruda*

*And I, infinitesimal being,  
inebriated on the great starry void,  
likeness, image of mystery,  
I felt myself a pure part of the abyss,  
I rode with the stars,  
my heart broke free onto the open sky.*



Thank You for your Attention



*Estrellas, que rodean, señas,  
Ojos, mis ojos captan la luz,  
suave palpitar de mi corazon,  
llevado en alto por la brisa  
vuelo de mi alma,  
libre, nacida de nuevo  
bajo un cielo maravilloso.*

*-C.S. Jacobs : ©2013*

*(inspirado en un verso de Abraham Kron)*



Photo: ©1986 C.S. Jacobs, All rights reserved